Judicial Candidate Poll Results "October 2020"

The following is the Cincinnati Bar Association's 2020 Hamilton County Judicial Candidate Poll. The survey was distributed to 2940 members of the Cincinnati legal community and provides valuable insights and observations about the local judicial candidates from members of the legal community that interact with them professionally.

					-									
			Administrative Diligence		Communication Skills		Community Engagement		Integrity Impartiality / Objectivity		Legal Experience		Professionalism / Temperament	
			Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded		Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	1
Court of Appea	ls Candi	dates	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70
Ginger S. Bock	lo ound													Т
Total Responses	568	Excellent	101	58%	110	63%	90	52%	105	60%	90	52%	127	73%
With Contact	174	Above AVG	26	15%	38	22%	20	11%	23	13%	29	17%	16	9%
% With Contact	31%	Average	11	6%	17	10%	8	5%	11	6%	23	13%	13	7%
No Contact	394	Below AVG	6	3%	3	2%	5	3%	8	5%	13	7%	9	5%
% No Contact	69%	Unknown	30	17%	6	3%	51	29%	27	16%	19	11%	9	5%
Russell J. Mock	_													
Total Responses	568	Excellent	114	55%	116	56%	78	38%	131	63%	117	57%	141	68%
With Contact	207	Above AVG	42	20%	62	30%	47	23%	34	16%	52	25%	37	18%
% With Contact	36%	Average	26	13%	18	9%	15	7%	19	9%	23	11%	20	10%
No Contact	361	Below AVG	20	10%	7	3%	11	5%	18	9%	6	3%	6	3%
% No Contact	63%	Unknown	5	2%	4	2%	56	27%	5	2%	9	4%	3	1%
Court of Common	Pleas Ca	ndidates							1	1				
Melba D. Marsh	560		154	E00/	100	610/	104	600/	104	659/	107	669/	202	600/
Total Responses With Contact	568 299	Excellent	154 72	52% 24%	183 69	61% 23%	184 47	62% 16%	194 60	65% 20%	197 63	66% 21%	203 56	68% 19%
With Contact % With Contact	299 53%	Above AVG Average	49	24% 16%	69 35	12%	20	7%	60 30	10%	24	21% 8%	27	9%
No Contact	269	Below AVG	13	4%	9	3%	3	1%	10	3%	7	2%	10	3%
% No Contact	47%	Unknown	11	4%	3	1%	45	15%	5	2%	8	3%	3	1%
Heidi A. Rosales														
Total Responses	568	Excellent	37	44%	41	48%	29	34%	47	55%	40	47%	51	60%
With Contact	85	Above AVG	14	16%	17	20%	16	19%	16	19%	24	28%	14	16%
% With Contact	15%	Average	14	16%	22	26%	7	8%	15	18%	14	16%	15	18%
No Contact	483	Below AVG	3	4%	3	4%	4 29	5%	4	5%	6	7%	4	5%
% No Contact	85%	Unknown	17	20%	2	2%	29	34%	3	4%		1%	I	1%
Patrick T. Dinkelacker								T						
Total Responses	568	Excellent	223	68%	212	65%	163	50%	192	59%	231	70%	218	66%
With Contact	328	Above AVG	59	18%	67	20%	58	18%	66	20%	60	18%	60	18%
% With Contact	58%	Average	30	9%	42	13%	25	8%	33	10%	26	8%	28	9%
No Contact	240	Below AVG	4	1%	6	2%	10	3%	33	10%	4	1%	22	7%
% No Contact	42%	Unknown	12	4%	1	0%	72	22%	4	1%	7	2%	0	0%
	_								1	1			1	
Christian A. Jenkins	500		20	400/	44	FC0/	20	440/	40	E40/	50	c20/	40	E40/
Total Responses	568 79	Excellent	39 18	49% 23%	44 19	56% 24%	32 10	41% 13%	40 11	51%	50 16	63% 20%	40 18	51% 23%
With Contact % With Contact	14%	Above AVG Average	5	<u>23%</u> 6%	9	11%	7	9%	9	14% 11%	6	8%	10	13%
No Contact	489	Below AVG	5	6%	5	6%	6	8%	9	11%	3	4%	8	10%
% No Contact	86%	Unknown	12	15%	2	3%	24	30%	10	13%	4	5%	3	4%
													1	
Curt C. Hartman														
Total Responses	568	Excellent	81	33%	75	31%	50	21%	74	30%	83	34%	76	31%
With Contact	243	Above AVG	52	21%	57	23%	49	20%	41	17%	74	30%	42	17%
% With Contact	43%	Average	49	20%	60	25%	44	18%	48	20%	53	22%	48	20%
No Contact % No Contact	325 57%	Below AVG	27 34	<u>11%</u> 14%	45 6	19% 2%	31 69	13% 28%	69 11	28% 5%	21 12	9% 5%	71 6	29% 2%
% No Contact	51%	Unknown	04	14%	0	∠ %0	09	20%		5%	12	5%	U	2%
Christopher A. Wagne	r	1												Т
Total Responses	568	Excellent	89	64%	88	64%	84	61%	88	64%	76	55%	96	70%
With Contact	138	Above AVG	17	12%	28	20%	27	20%	21	15%	32	23%	24	17%
% With Contact	24%	Average	15	11%	17	12%	12	9%	16	12%	15	11%	10	7%
No Contact	430	Below AVG	2	1%	4	3%	3	2%	7	5%	12	9%	4	3%
% No Contact	75%	Unknown	15	11%	1	1%	12	9%	6	4%	3	2%	4	3%
Jennifer L. Branch	500		70	500/	00	0001	<u>.</u>	0004	67	4004		0004		F 0 0 (
Total Responses	568	Excellent	73	52%	88	62%	84	60%	67	48%	93	66%	79	56%
With Contact % With Contact	141	Above AVG	28 17	20%	27	19%	25	18%	23	16%	21 18	15%	22	16%
% with Contact No Contact	25% 427	Average Below AVG	4	12% 3%	21 5	15% 4%	6 7	4% 5%	19 23	13% 16%	18	13% 4%	19 15	13% 11%
% No Contact	427 75%	Unknown	4 19	<u> </u>	0	4%	19	13%	9	6%	4	3%	6	4%
	1070	UNKIIUWI		1070	, v	0 /0	10	1070	, j	0 /0		070	Ň	+ /0

Judicial Candidate Poll Results "October 2020"

						"Octo	ber 2020"							
			Administrative Diligence		Communication Skills		Community Engagement		Integrity Impartiality / Objectivity		Legal Experience		Professionalism / Temperament	
			Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	1 %
Court of Common Plea	as Candio	dates (cont.)		1				-				_		
Elizabeth A. Callan														
Total Responses	568	Excellent	77	45%	78	46%	72	42%	88	51%	77	45%	98	57%
With Contact	171	Above AVG	32	19%	52	30%	31	18%	34	20%	40	23%	34	20%
% With Contact	30%	Average	33	19%	27	16%	15	9%	22	13%	26	15%	27	16%
No Contact % No Contact	397 69%	Below AVG Unknown	13 16	8% 9%	9 5	5% 3%	6 47	4% 27%	12 15	7% 9%	17 11	10% 6%	5	3% 4%
70 NO COMact	0370	UTIKITOWIT	10	370	Ŭ	570	-11	21 /0	10	370		070		470
Stacey L. DeGraffenre Total Responses	id 568	Excellent	42	45%	54	58%	40	43%	61	66%	55	59%	67	72%
With Contact	93	Above AVG	24	26%	29	31%	19	20%	18	19%	22	24%	16	17%
% With Contact	16%	Average	11	12%	8	9%	7	8%	8	9%	11	12%	8	9%
No Contact	475	Below AVG	3	3%	2	2%	4	4%	4	4%	3	3%	0	0%
% No Contact	83%	Unknown	13	14%	0	0%	23	25%	2	2%	2	2%	2	2%
Alan C. Triggs					0.5								100	
Total Responses	568	Excellent	90	54%	95	57%	84	50%	104	62%	101	60%	100	60%
With Contact	167	Above AVG	31	19%	34	20%	22	13%	37	22%	37	22%	39	23%
% With Contact	29%	Average	26	16%	32	19%	13	8%	16	10%	18	11%	15	9%
No Contact	401	Below AVG	4 16	2%	4	2%	2 46	1%	10 0	6%	74	4%	12	7%
% No Contact	70%	Unknown	10	10%	2	1%	40	28%	0	0%	4	2%	I	1%
Thomas O. Beridon	500			500/	150	050/	100	500/	457	050/	400	500/	474	700/
Total Responses	568	Excellent	141	58%	158	65%	128	53%	157	65%	128 66	53%	174	72%
With Contact	242	Above AVG	54	22%	53	22%	39	16%	48	20%		27%	34	14%
% With Contact No Contact	43%	Average	23	10%	23	10% 3%	12 5	5%	21	9% 4%	27	11% 5%	18	7%
% No Contact	326 57%	Below AVG Unknown	7 17	3% 7%	8 0	0%	58	2% 24%	10 6	2%	12 9	4%	13 3	5% 1%
70 NO CONTACT	5170	UTIKITOWIT		170	Ŭ	070	00	2470	Ŭ	2 /0	Ū	470	Ű	170
Robert A. Goering	500			000/		= 404		170/		2004		0001	105	7404
Total Responses	568	Excellent	93	63%	80	54%	69	47%	93	63%	92	62%	105	71%
With Contact	148 26%	Above AVG	23 11	16%	34	23% 18%	26 17	18%	27 11	18% 7%	27 16	18%	23 15	16% 10%
% With Contact	420	Average	6	7% 4%	26 6	4%	5	11% 3%	9	6%	10	7%	4	3%
No Contact % No Contact	420 73%	Below AVG Unknown	15	10%	2	4%	31	21%	9 8	5%	3	2%	4	3%
	_													
Ethna M. Cooper					100				101	- 10 <i>1</i>			100	
Total Responses	568	Excellent	147	58%	160	63%	122	48%	164	64%	183	72%	182	71%
With Contact	255	Above AVG	57	22%	60	24%	34	13%	44	17%	49	19%	40	16%
% With Contact	45%	Average	<u>27</u> 12	11% 5%	29 5	11%	27 11	11% 4%	24 16	9% 6%	12 4	5%	26 6	10%
No Contact % No Contact	313 55%	Below AVG Unknown	12	5%	5	2% 0%	61	24%	7	3%	4	2% 3%	1	2% 0%
	5570	UTIKITOWIT	12	570		070	01	2470		570		570	•	070
Wende C. Cross	560		42	400/	62	610/	40	400/	55	E 40/	50	E00/	E7	E 00/
Total Responses	568	Excellent	42 13	42%		61%	48	48%	55	54%	50	50%	57	56%
With Contact % With Contact	101	Above AVG	13	13%	16 18	16%	18 7	18%	17 14	17%	21 14	21%	19 11	19%
% With Contact No Contact	18% 467	Average Below AVG	7	18% 7%	18	18% 3%	6	7% 6%	14	14% 10%	14	14%	11	11% 13%
% No Contact	82%	Unknown	21	21%	2	2%	22	22%	5	5%	6	6%	1	1%
	-													<u> </u>
Alison P. Hatheway Total Responses	568	Excellent	24	44%	32	58%	24	44%	31	56%	29	53%	36	65%
With Contact	55	Above AVG	7	13%	9	16%	8	15%	10	18%	11	20%	7	13%
% With Contact	10%	Above Avg Average	5	9%	9	16%	4	7%	3	5%	6	11%	6	11%
No Contact	513	Below AVG	4	7%	4	7%	6	11%	8	15%	6	11%	5	9%
% No Contact	90%	Unknown	15	27%	1	2%	13	24%	3	5%	3	5%	1	2%
Charles I Kubicki Ir														
Charles J. Kubicki, Jr. Total Responses	568	Excellent	99	39%	81	32%	58	23%	95	38%	114	45%	91	36%
With Contact	253	Above AVG	58	23%	64	25%	38	15%	57	23%	57	23%	60	24%
% With Contact	45%	Average	49	19%	72	28%	44	17%	51	20%	58	23%	56	24%
No Contact	315	Below AVG	44	17%	31	12%	25	10%	43	17%	16	6%	40	16%
% No Contact	55%	Unknown	3	1%	5	2%	88	35%	7	3%	8	3%	6	2%
									1					

Judicial Candidate Poll Results "October 2020"

						"Octo	ber 2020"							
			Administrative Diligence Responded %		Communication Skills Responded %		Community Engagement Responded %		Integrity Impartiality / Objectivity Responded %		Legal Experience Responded %		Professionalism / Temperament Responded %	
David Count Divid		المعادم	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	70	Responded	/0	Responded	70
Drug Court Divis	ion Can	didates			r						1	-	r	
Kim W. Burke	500		77	60%	92	700/	70	59%	94	73%	04	71%	101	79%
Total Responses With Contact	568 128	Excellent	77 27	21%	30	72% 23%	76 20	16%	25	20%	91 26	20%	21	16%
% With Contact	23%	Above AVG	11	9%	4	3%	5	4%	25 5	4%	7	20% 5%	3	2%
No Contact	23% 440	Average Below AVG	8	<u>9%</u> 6%	2	2%	4	3%	2	2%	1	1%	3	2%
% No Contact	77%	Unknown	5	4%	0	0%	23	18%	2	2%	3	2%	0	0%
	1170	Onknown		170	-	070		1070	_	270	-	270	-	070
Nicole L. Sanders		I I												
Total Responses	568	Excellent	32	36%	41	46%	43	48%	41	46%	38	42%	40	44%
With Contact	90	Above AVG	7	8%	15	17%	9	10%	12	13%	11	12%	14	16%
% With Contact	16%	Average	18	20%	12	13%	6	7%	15	17%	17	19%	8	9%
No Contact	478	Below AVG	15	17%	21	23%	8	9%	16	18%	17	19%	25	28%
% No Contact	84%	Unknown	18	20%	1	1%	24	27%	6	7%	7	8%	3	3%
Probate Court Div	ision Ca	ndidates												
Pavan V. Parikh		1												
Total Responses	568	Excellent	42	39%	66	61%	59	55%	66	61%	45	42%	69	64%
With Contact	108	Above AVG	16	15%	19	18%	19	18%	10	9%	24	22%	16	15%
% With Contact	19%	Average	9	8%	12	11%	7	6%	10	9%	13	12%	6	6%
No Contact	460	Below AVG	10	9%	10	9%	9	8%	13	12%	22	20%	12	11%
% No Contact	80%	Unknown	31	29%	1	1%	14	13%	9	8%	4	4%	5	5%
Dolph E Winkley	_													1
Ralph E. Winkler	568	E Il t	224	69%	194	60%	170	55%	221	68%	222	68%	240	74%
Total Responses With Contact	325	Excellent	57	18%	72	22%	178 49	15%	54	17%	62	19%	50	15%
% With Contact	525 57%	Above AVG	30	9%	39	12%	22	7%	24	7%	32	10%	23	7%
No Contact	243	Average Below AVG	6	2%	19	6%	8	2%	24 21	6%	4	10%	11	3%
% No Contact	42%	Unknown	8	2%	13	0%	68	21%	5	2%	5	2%	1	0%
						• • •								
Juvenile Court Div Kari L. Bloom	ision Ca	indidates								-			1	_
Total Responses	568	Excellent	47	48%	67	69%	53	55%	58	60%	48	49%	67	69%
With Contact	97	Above AVG	16	16%	16	16%	13	13%	21	22%	22	23%	17	18%
% With Contact	17%	Above Ave Average	6	6%	9	9%	7	7%	9	9%	15	15%	8	8%
No Contact	471	Below AVG	2	2%	5	5%	3	3%	6	6%	10	10%	2	2%
% No Contact	82%	Unknown	26	27%	Ő	0%	21	22%	3	3%	2	2%	3	3%
John M. Williams														
Total Responses	568	Excellent	128	72%	129	72%	112	63%	130	73%	138	77%	149	83%
With Contact	179	Above AVG	22	12%	28	16%	22	12%	24	13%	22	12%	15	8%
% With Contact	32%	Average	16	9%	14	8%	10	6%	10	6%	12	7%	8	4%
No Contact % No Contact	389 68%	Below AVG Unknown	5	3% 4%	5	3% 2%	9 26	5% 15%	11 4	6% 2%	5	3% 1%	6	3% 1%
			0	4 /0	5	2 /0	20	1570	4	2 /0	2	170	1	170
Domestic Relations Anne B. Flottman	Court C	Candidates												T
Total Responses	568	Excellent	74	63%	84	71%	62	53%	74	63%	89	75%	81	69%
With Contact	118	Above AVG	11	9%	17	14%	8	7%	20	17%	17	14%	18	15%
% With Contact		Average	8	7%	10	8%	14	12%	10	8%	6	5%	7	6%
No Contact		Below AVG	4	3%	6	5%	5	4%	11	9%	5	4%	12	10%
% No Contact		Unknown	21	18%	1	1%	29	25%	3	3%	1	1%	0	0%
Amul Casar														
Amy L. Searcy	569	E	00	50%	07	E / 0/	01	510/	07	540/	70	40%	01	570/
Total Responses	568	Excellent	80	50%	87	54%	81	51%	87	54%	79 37	49%	91	57%
With Contact	160	Above AVG	35	22%	38	24%	28	18%	33	21%		23%	36	23%
% With Contact	28%	Average	20 17	13%	27 7	17%	15 6	9%	20	13%	27 15	17%	13 19	8%
No Contact % No Contact	408 71%	Below AVG Unknown	8	<u>11%</u> 5%	1	4% 1%	30	4% 19%	18 2	<u>11%</u> 1%	2	9% 1%	19	12% 1%
	1170	UNKIIUWII	Ĵ	070	· ·	170		1370	-	170	-	170	· ·	170

Margin of Error = +/- 4%